## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 211

## (Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Bongiorno v. J & G Realty, LLC	311
Bova v. Commissioner of Correction	248
Buehler v. Buehler	357
Carter v. Bowler.  Due process; absolute immunity; motion to dismiss; whether trial court properly granted defendant statewide bar counsel's motion to dismiss on ground of absolute immunity; whether statewide bar counsel's actions in reviewing complaints of attorney misconduct were taken in quasi-judicial capacity and pursuant to statutory (§ 51-90c) authority.	119
CTPPS, LLC v. Matava (Memorandum Decision)	903 130
motion to dismiss; motion for leave to correct plaintiffs brief, denied.  Dept. of Public Health v. Estrada	223
Dolan v. Dolan	390
Epright v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co	26
Fenstermaker $v$ . Fenstermaker (Memorandum Decision)	901

Fulcher v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
Gleason v. Durden	416
Unjust enrichment; breach of contract; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment for plaintiff on unjust enrichment claim on basis of unalleged agreement; whether trial court erred in not finding that confidential relationship	
existed between parties.	
Gottesman v. Kratter	206
Legal malpractice; breach of contract; transferee liability; whether trial court properly	200
granted defendants' motions for summary judgment as to plaintiff's legal mal-	
practice claims following plaintiff's failure to disclose expert witness; whether	
trial court properly granted defendant attorney's motion to strike portion of	
plaintiff's complaint alleging breach of contract; whether trial court properly	
granted defendant law firms' motion for summary judgment as to plaintiff's	
transferee liability claim.	
Green v. Paz	152
Legal malpractice; ripeness; subject matter jurisdiction; exoneration rule; whether	
claim that defendants provided deficient representation with respect to plaintiff's	
prior habeas corpus action was ripe for adjudication when plaintiff remained	
validly incarcerated and his conviction had never been invalidated.	
Griffin Hospital v. ISOThrive, LLC	254
Breach of contract; whether trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff was not	
obligated, under terms of agreement, to perform analysis to determine whether	
certain medications had potential to interact with ingredients of supplement	
under study; whether trial court properly concluded that language of revised	
protocol was clear and unambiguous with respect to selection of study partici-	
pants; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff performed study in	
compliance with agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion by awarding	
plaintiff prejudgment interest pursuant to applicable statute ( $\S$ 37-3a). Hartford v. Hartford Police Union	155
Hartford v. Hartford Police Union	199
concluding that arbitration panel did not exceed its authority in violation of	
applicable statute (§ 52-418 (a) (4)) in finding that plaintiff city violated its	
collective bargaining agreement with defendant union and in ordering retroactive	
pay to be made to certain of city's employees as remedy, while allowing such	
employees to retain overtime pay already received.	
Heywood v. Commissioner of Correction	102
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner was not	
prejudiced by trial counsel's deficient performance.	
Ingram v. Ingram	484
Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court properly granted postdissolution motion	
for modification of custody seeking to relocate parties' minor child; whether trial	
court applied criteria of applicable statute (§ 46b-56d) in reaching its determi-	
nation.	
In re Aligha RS	39
Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred in finding that Depart-	
ment of Children and Families had made reasonable efforts to reunite respondent	
mother with her children; claim that trial court erred in finding that mother	
failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation pursuant to statute	
(§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)); claim that trial court erred in finding that termination	
of mother's parental rights was in best interests of children; claim that trial	
counsel rendered ineffective assistance.  In re Christian C. (See In re Lucia C.)	075
	$\frac{275}{275}$
In re Lucia C	210
dent father's parental rights; whether trial court correctly concluded that, in	
accordance with applicable statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (C)), father denied his	
children, by an act or acts of comission or omission, care, guidance, or control	
necessary for their physical, educational, moral, or emotional well-being; whether	
trial court correctly determined that, because father was incarcerated following	
his conviction of sexual assault of a minor, his absence from his children's lives	
caused his children to be denied the care, guidance, or control necessary for their	
well-being.	
KDM Services, LLC v. DRVN Enterprises, Inc.	135
Breach of contract; whether trial court abused its discretion in allowing plaintiff	
to amond its complaint following trial to conform to evidence at trial	

Kedersha v. Freitag-Kedersha (Memorandum Decision)	902
Kellogg v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co	335
final juagment for purposes of appeal; whether trial court property denied motion for summary judgment.	
Lewis v. Commissioner of Correction.  Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of appellate counsel; procedural default; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court erred in denying petitioner's motion to sequester subpoenaed witness, in striking his motion to reconstruct and correct record, and in denying his request to issue subpoena; claim that habeas court erred in dismissing claims of petition alleging violation of constitutional rights to fair trial, to present defense, to self-representation and to counsel and violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) on ground of procedural default; claim that habeas court improperly denied claim that appellate counsel provided ineffective assistance.	77
Massey Bros. Excavating, LLC $v$ . Pacileo's Apizza, LLC (Memorandum Decision)	901
Newtown v. Gaydosh	186
Olorunfunmi v. Commissioner of Correction	291
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal from denial of petition for writ of habeas corpus on ground of ineffective assistance of counsel; whether petitioner met burden to demonstrate prejudice resulting from trial counsel's alleged failure to advise him properly about immigration consequences of guilty plea that fell within federal definition of agravated felony.	_01
Ortiz v. Commissioner of Correction	378
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court abused its discretion in determining that petitioner did not rebut statutory (§ 52-470) presumption of unreasonable delay.	
Peerless Realty, Inc. v. Stamford	441
precluded plaintiff from asserting common-law claim of unjust enrichment.	
Pizzoferrato v. Community Renewal Team, Inc	458
Quint $v$ . Commissioner of Correction	27
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to meaningfully explain state's plea offer; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to ensure that petitioner received presentence jail credit for time he had served between his sentencings in two separate cases.	
Scient Federal Credit Union v. Rabon	264
Breach of credit card agreement; motion for summary judgment; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly concluded that there was no genuine issue of material fact with respect to defendant's liability and amount of damages; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether defendant waived claim of insufficiency of process	

by failing to file motion to dismiss within thirty days of filing appearance as required by applicable rule of practice (§ 10-30).	
Seder v. Errato	167
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court erred in failing to enforce parties' alleged prenuptial agreement; whether defendant failed to prove contents of prenuptial agreement; claim that trial court improperly ordered defendant to pay attorney's fees to plaintiff.	
Sitar v. Syferlock Technology Corp	406
Breach of employment contract; failure to pay wages pursuant to statute (§ 31-72); whether trial court erred in finding that there was no bad faith, arbitrariness, or unreasonableness on part of defendant to support award of double damages and attorney's fees with respect to plaintiffs' claims for failure to pay wages pursuant to § 31-72; whether trial court abused its discretion in not awarding prejudgment interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3a (a)).	
Stanley v. Woodard	127
Probate appeal; motion to open and vacate judgment; claim that trial court abused its	
discretion in denying plaintiff's motion to open and vacate judgment of dismissal. State $v$ . Goode	465
Assault of public safety personnel; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request for new counsel; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request to have his restraints removed during trial; whether trial court erred by not inquiring into potential conflict of interest between defendant and his counsel.	405
State v. Schlosser	143
Violation of probation; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's due process rights by failing to advise him of his right to maintain denial of his violation of probation; whether defendant's admissions to violation of probation were made knowingly and voluntarily.	
State v. Tony O	496
Robbery in third degree; unlawful restraint in first degree; assault in third degree; persistent felony offender; persistent offender; whether evidence was sufficient to support jury's finding that defendant seized wife's handbag in course of committing larceny, as required for conviction of robbery in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support jury's findings that defendant restrained his wife during physical altercation and exposed her to substantial risk of physical injury; whether trial court improperly admitted wife's statement to police officer as spontaneous utterance under applicable provision (§ 8-3 (2)) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; unpreserved claim that defendant's right to confrontation was violated because he never was afforded opportunity to crossexamine wife about her statement to police officer.	
Tatum $v$ . Commissioner of Correction	42
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; res judicata; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed counts of habeas petition alleging ineffective assistance of trial counsel, appellate counsel, and first habeas counsel on basis of res judicata; claim that habeas court improperly determined that State v. Guilbert (306 Conn. 218) and State v. Dickson (322 Conn. 410) did not apply retroactively on collateral review to identification claims raised in habeas petition; claim that habeas court improperly denied count of habeas petition that alleged ineffective assistance against third habeas counsel.	
Tolland Meetinghouse Commons, LLC v. CXF Tolland, LLC	1
Breach of contract; breach of guaranty agreement; whether trial court properly	_
granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; adoption of trial court's memo- randum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.	
Townsend v. Librandi (Memorandum Decision)	902
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. J & M Holdings, LLC (Memorandum Decision)	902